

# On the ideal case of a conjecture of Huneke and Wiegand

Naoki Taniguchi

Waseda University

Joint work with O. Celikbas, S. Goto and R. Takahashi

The 10th Japan-Vietnam joint seminar on Commutative Algebra

September 13, 2018

## §1 Introduction

For a moment

- $R$  an integral domain
- $M, N$  finitely generated [torsion-free](#)  $R$ -modules

Recall that  $M$  is called [torsion-free](#), if the natural map

$$0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow M \otimes_R Q(R)$$

is injective.

### Question

*When is the tensor product  $M \otimes_R N$  [torsion-free](#)?*

## §1 Introduction

For a moment

- $R$  an integral domain
- $M, N$  finitely generated [torsion-free](#)  $R$ -modules

Recall that  $M$  is called [torsion-free](#), if the natural map

$$0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow M \otimes_R Q(R)$$

is injective.

### Question

*When is the tensor product  $M \otimes_R N$  [torsion-free](#)?*

Let  $(-)^* = \text{Hom}_R(-, R)$  be the algebraic dual.

### Conjecture 1.1 (Huneke-Wiegand, 1994)

Let  $(R, \mathfrak{m})$  be a Gorenstein local domain with  $\dim R = 1$ ,  $M$  a finitely generated torsion-free  $R$ -module. If  $M \otimes_R M^*$  is torsion-free, then  $M$  is free.

### Theorem 1.2 (Auslander, 1961)

*Let  $R$  be a Noetherian normal domain,  $M$  a finitely generated  $R$ -module. Then  $M$  is projective if and only if  $M \otimes_R M^*$  is reflexive.*

### Theorem 1.3 (Huneke-Wiegand, 1994)

*Let  $R$  be a hypersurface domain,  $M, N$  finitely generated  $R$ -modules. If  $M \otimes_R N$  is torsion-free, then either  $M$  or  $N$  is free.*

### Theorem 1.4 (Celikbas, 2011)

*Let  $R$  be a complete intersection domain,  $M$  a finitely generated torsion-free  $R$ -module with bounded Betti numbers. If  $M \otimes_R M^*$  is torsion-free, then  $M$  is free.*

For a commutative Noetherian local ring  $R$ , we define

(HWC) For every finitely generated **torsion-free**  $R$ -module  $M$ , if  $M \otimes_R M^*$  is reflexive, then  $M$  is free.

(ARC) For every finitely generated  $R$ -module  $M$ , if  $\text{Ext}_R^{>0}(M, M \oplus R) = (0)$ , then  $M$  is free.

### Theorem 1.5 (Celikbas-Dao, Cekilbas-Takahashi, Huneke-Wiegand)

Consider the following conditions.

- (1) (HWC) holds for all Gorenstein local domains.
- (2) (HWC) holds for all **one-dimensional** Gorenstein local domains.
- (3) (ARC) holds for all Gorenstein local domains.

Then the implications  $(1) \iff (2) \implies (3)$  hold.

## Conjecture 1.6

Let  $(R, \mathfrak{m})$  be a Gorenstein local domain with  $\dim R = 1$ ,  $I$  an ideal of  $R$ .  
If  $I \otimes_R I^*$  is torsion-free, then  $I$  is principal.

## Fact 1.7

*Conjecture 1.6 holds for the following cases.*

- (1)  $e(R) \leq 6$  (Goto-Takahashi-T-Truong, 2015)
- (2)  $R = k[[H]]$ ,  $I$  monomial,  $e(R) \leq 7$  (Goto-Takahashi-T-Truong, 2015)
- (3)  $e(R) \leq 8$  (Huneke-Iyengar-Wiegand, 2018)
- (4)  $I \cong$  trace ideal (Lindo, 2017)



The main result of this talk is stated as follows.

### Theorem 1.8 (Celikbas-Goto-Takahashi-T, 2018)

*Let  $(R, \mathfrak{m})$  be a Cohen-Macaulay local ring with  $\dim R = 1$ ,  $I$  an  $\mathfrak{m}$ -primary ideal of  $R$ . Suppose that  $I$  is weakly  $\mathfrak{m}$ -full, that is,  $\mathfrak{m}I : \mathfrak{m} = I$ . If  $I \otimes_R I^*$  is torsion-free, then  $I$  is principal, and hence  $R$  is a DVR.*

## Contents

- (1) Introduction
- (2) Weakly  $m$ -full ideals
- (3) Proof of Theorem 1.8
- (4) Integrally closed ideals

## §2 Weakly $\mathfrak{m}$ -full ideals

Throughout, let

- $(R, \mathfrak{m})$  be a Noetherian local ring
- $I$  an ideal of  $R$

### Definition 2.1

- (1)  $I$  is called  *$\mathfrak{m}$ -full*, if  $\mathfrak{m}I : x = I$  for  $\exists x \in \mathfrak{m}$  (Rees)
- (2)  $I$  is called *weakly  $\mathfrak{m}$ -full*, if  $\mathfrak{m}I : \mathfrak{m} = I$  (Celikbas-lima-Sadeghi-Takahashi)

### Fact 2.2 (Goto, Rees)

Suppose  $|R/\mathfrak{m}| = \infty$ . If  $I = \bar{I}$ , then  $I = \sqrt{(0)}$  or  $I$  is  $\mathfrak{m}$ -full.

### Remark 2.3

The implication

$$I \text{ is } \mathfrak{m}\text{-full} \implies I = \bar{I}$$

does **not** hold.

### Example 2.4

Let  $R = k[[X, Y]]$  be the formal power series ring over a field  $k$ . Then

$$I = (X^3, X^2Y^3, XY^4, Y^5)$$

is  $\mathfrak{m}$ -full, but  $I \neq \bar{I}$ .

### Example 2.5

Let  $J$  be an ideal of  $R$  and set  $I = J : \mathfrak{m}$ . Then  $I$  is a weakly  $\mathfrak{m}$ -full ideal.

### Remark 2.6

The implication

$$I \text{ is weakly } \mathfrak{m}\text{-full} \implies I \text{ is } \mathfrak{m}\text{-full}$$

does **not** hold.

## Proposition 2.7

Let  $(R, \mathfrak{m})$  be a Cohen-Macaulay local ring,  $Q$  a parameter ideal of  $R$ . We set  $I = Q : \mathfrak{m}$ . If

$$\mu_R(\mathfrak{m}) > \dim R + r(R),$$

then  $I$  is not  $\mathfrak{m}$ -full.

## Proof.

Note that  $R$  is not regular. Then  $\mathfrak{m}I = \mathfrak{m}Q$ , so that

$$\mu_R(I) = \dim R + r(R)$$

because  $\ell_R(I/Q) = r(R)$ . If  $I$  is  $\mathfrak{m}$ -full, then

$$\mu_R(I) \geq \mu_R(\mathfrak{m})$$

which makes a contradiction. □

### Example 2.8

Let  $k$  be a field and set  $R = k[[t^5, t^6, t^7, t^9]]$ . Then

$$I = (t^5, t^9, t^{13}) = (t^5) : \mathfrak{m}$$

is weakly  $\mathfrak{m}$ -full, but not  $\mathfrak{m}$ -full.

### Theorem 2.9 (Goto-Hayasaka, 2002)

Suppose that  $I$  is  $\mathfrak{m}$ -full and  $\text{depth } R/I = 0$ . If  $\text{id}_R I < \infty$ , then  $R$  is a RLR.

### Theorem 2.10 (Celikbas-lima-Sadeghi-Takahashi, 2018)

Suppose that  $I$  is weakly  $\mathfrak{m}$ -full and  $\text{depth } R/I = 0$ . If  $\text{id}_R I < \infty$ , then  $R$  is a RLR.



## §3 Proof of Theorem 1.8

### Setting 3.1

- $(R, \mathfrak{m})$  a Noetherian local ring
- $I$  an  $\mathfrak{m}$ -primary ideal of  $R$
- $M$  a finitely generated  $R$ -module

### Proposition 3.2 (cf. Corso-Huneke-Katz-Vasconcelos, 2006)

Suppose that  $I$  is weakly  $\mathfrak{m}$ -full. If

$$\mathrm{Tor}_t^R(M, R/I) = 0 \quad \text{for } \exists t \geq 0$$

then  $\mathrm{pd}_R M < t$ .

## Proof of Proposition 3.2

If  $t = 0$ , then  $M = 0$  and  $\text{pd}_R M = -\infty$ . Thus we may assume  $t > 0$ . Consider a minimal free resolution of  $M$

$$\cdots \longrightarrow F_{t+1} \longrightarrow F_t \xrightarrow{\partial_t} F_{t-1} \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow F_0 \longrightarrow 0.$$

Applying  $\overline{(-)} = (-) \otimes_R R/I$ , we obtain

$$\cdots \longrightarrow \overline{F}_{t+1} \longrightarrow \overline{F}_t \xrightarrow{\overline{\partial}_t} \overline{F}_{t-1} \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow \overline{F}_0 \longrightarrow 0.$$

Suppose  $\overline{\partial}_t = 0$ . Then  $\overline{F}_t = \mathfrak{m}\overline{F}_t$ , whence  $\overline{F}_t = (0)$  and  $F_t = (0)$ . Hence  $\text{pd}_R M < t$ .

We now assume  $\text{Im } \overline{\partial}_t \neq 0$  and seek a contradiction. Since  $(\text{Im } \partial_t)I \subseteq IF_{t-1}$ ,

$$(\text{Im } \partial_t)\mathfrak{m}^s \subseteq IF_{t-1} \quad \text{for } \exists s > 0.$$

Let us choose the integer  $s$  as small as possible.

## Proof of Proposition 3.2

Since  $(\text{Im } \partial_t)\mathfrak{m}^{s-1} \not\subseteq IF_{t-1}$ , we choose

$$u \in (\text{Im } \partial_t)\mathfrak{m}^{s-1} \text{ s.t. } u \notin IF_{t-1}.$$

Then

$$\mathfrak{m}u \subseteq \mathfrak{m}/F_{t-1}$$

and hence

$$u \in (\mathfrak{m}/ : \mathfrak{m})F_{t-1} = IF_{t-1}$$

which is a contradiction. Therefore  $\text{Im } \bar{\partial}_t = 0$ , as desired.



### Corollary 3.3

Suppose that  $I$  is weakly  $\mathfrak{m}$ -full and  $\text{depth } R > 0$ . Then

$$I \otimes_R M \text{ is torsion-free} \iff M \text{ is free.}$$

### Proof.

( $\Rightarrow$ ) Applying  $(-)\otimes_R M$  to  $0 \rightarrow I \rightarrow R \rightarrow R/I \rightarrow 0$ , we get

$$0 \longrightarrow \text{Tor}_1^R(R/I, M) \longrightarrow I \otimes_R M.$$

Since  $I$  contains a NZD on  $R$ ,  $\text{Tor}_1^R(R/I, M)$  is torsion. Hence

$$\text{Tor}_1^R(R/I, M) = (0)$$

which implies  $\text{pd}_R M \leq 0$ . □

We are now ready to prove Theorem 1.8.

### Theorem 1.8 (Celikbas-Goto-Takahashi-T, 2018)

*Let  $(R, \mathfrak{m})$  be a Cohen-Macaulay local ring with  $\dim R = 1$ ,  $I$  an  $\mathfrak{m}$ -primary ideal of  $R$ . Suppose that  $I$  is weakly  $\mathfrak{m}$ -full. If  $I \otimes_R I^*$  is torsion-free, then  $I$  is principal, and  $R$  is a DVR.*

## Proof of Theorem 1.8

Since  $I \otimes_R I^*$  is torsion-free, by Corollary 3.3,  $I^*$  is free.

### Claim 3.4

*Let  $(R, \mathfrak{m})$  be a Cohen-Macaulay local ring with  $\dim R = 1$ ,  $M$  a finitely generated torsion-free  $R$ -module. Then*

$$M^* \text{ is free} \iff M \text{ is free}$$

## Proof of Theorem 1.8

### Proof of Claim 3.4.

We may assume  $M$  is indecomposable. Since  $M$  is torsion-free, if  $M^* = (0)$ , then  $M = (0)$ . We may assume  $M^* \neq (0)$ . Let

$$F_1 \longrightarrow F_0 \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow 0$$

be the minimal presentation of  $M$ . Then

$$0 \longrightarrow M^* \longrightarrow F_0^* \longrightarrow F_1^* \longrightarrow \text{Tr} M \longrightarrow 0.$$

Since  $\text{pd}_R(\text{Tr} M) < \infty$ , we have  $\text{pd}_R(\text{Tr} M) \leq 1$ . Thus

$$\text{Ext}_R^2(\text{Tr} M, R) = (0)$$

which implies

$$M \longrightarrow M^{**} \longrightarrow 0$$

and hence  $M \cong M^{**}$  as claimed. □

## Proof of Theorem 1.8

Consequently,  $I$  is principal. Therefore,  $I = (f)$  for  $\exists R$ -NZD  $f \in \mathfrak{m}$ . Since  $I \cong R$ , we have  $\text{pd}_R(R/I) \leq 1$ , so that

$$\text{Tor}_2^R(R/\mathfrak{m}, R/I) = (0).$$

Hence, by Proposition 3.2,  $\text{pd}_R(R/\mathfrak{m}) \leq 1$ , which yields that  $R$  is a DVR.  $\square$

### Remark 3.5

- (1) Let  $(R, \mathfrak{m})$  be a Noetherian local ring with depth  $R > 0$ ,  $I$  a **weakly  $\mathfrak{m}$ -full**  $\mathfrak{m}$ -primary ideal. If  $\mu_R(I) = 1$ , then  $R$  is a DVR.
- (2) Assertion (1) holds for the case where  $I = \bar{I}$ .



## §4 Integrally closed ideals

### Theorem 4.1

Let  $R$  be a Noetherian ring,  $I$  an ideal of  $R$  with  $\text{ht}_R I > 0$ . Assume  $R$  satisfies Serre's condition  $(S_2)$ . Then TFAE.

- (1)  $I = \bar{I}$  and  $[I] \in \text{Pic } R$ .
- (2)  $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is a DVR for  $\forall \mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass}_R R/I$  and  $[I] \in \text{Pic } R$ .
- (3)  $I = \bar{I}$  and  $I \otimes_R I^*$  is reflexive.

When this is the case,

$$I = \bigcap_{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass}_R R/I} \mathfrak{p}^{(n(\mathfrak{p}))}$$

where  $n(\mathfrak{p}) \geq 1$  for  $\forall \mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass}_R R/I$  and  $\mathfrak{p}^{(n(\mathfrak{p}))}$  is a symbolic power of  $\mathfrak{p}$ .

Recall that a Noetherian ring  $R$  satisfies  $(S_n)$  if

$$\text{depth } R_{\mathfrak{p}} \geq \min\{n, \text{ht}_R \mathfrak{p}\} \quad \text{for } \forall \mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec } R.$$

We set

$\text{Pic } R = \{\text{finitely generated projective module } M \text{ s.t. } M_{\mathfrak{p}} \cong R_{\mathfrak{p}} \text{ for } \forall \mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec } R\} / \cong$

and call it *the Picard group* of  $R$ .

## Lemma 4.2

Let  $R$  be a Noetherian ring satisfying  $(S_1)$ ,  $I$  an ideal of  $R$ . Assume

$$IR_{\mathfrak{p}} \cong R_{\mathfrak{p}} \text{ for } \exists \mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass}_R R/I.$$

Then  $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is a Cohen-Macaulay local ring with  $\dim R_{\mathfrak{p}} = 1$ . If, furthermore,  $I = \bar{I}$ , then  $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is a DVR.

## Proof.

Note that  $\text{depth}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}(R_{\mathfrak{p}}/IR_{\mathfrak{p}}) = 0$ . Since  $IR_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is principal, we have  $\text{depth } R_{\mathfrak{p}} = 1$ . As  $R$  satisfies  $(S_1)$ , we conclude that  $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is a Cohen-Macaulay local ring with  $\dim R_{\mathfrak{p}} = 1$ . If  $I = \bar{I}$ , then Remark 3.5 (2) shows  $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is a DVR.  $\square$

## Theorem 4.1

Let  $R$  be a Noetherian ring, satisfying  $(S_2)$ ,  $I$  an ideal of  $R$  with  $\text{ht}_R I > 0$ . Then TFAE.

- (1)  $I = \bar{I}$  and  $[I] \in \text{Pic } R$ .
- (2)  $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is a DVR for  $\forall \mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass}_R R/I$  and  $[I] \in \text{Pic } R$ .
- (3)  $I = \bar{I}$  and  $I \otimes_R I^*$  is reflexive.

When this is the case,

$$I = \bigcap_{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass}_R R/I} \mathfrak{p}^{(n(\mathfrak{p}))}$$

where  $n(\mathfrak{p}) \geq 1$  for  $\forall \mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass}_R R/I$ .

## Proof of Theorem 4.1

(1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2): It follows from Lemma 4.2.

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (3): Suppose that  $\bar{I}/I \neq (0)$  and choose  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass}_R \bar{I}/I$ . Then  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass}_R R/I$  so that  $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is a DVR by assumption. Hence

$$IR_{\mathfrak{p}} = \overline{IR_{\mathfrak{p}}} = \bar{I}R_{\mathfrak{p}}.$$

This is a contradiction, because  $(\bar{I}/I)_{\mathfrak{p}} \neq (0)$ . Thus  $\bar{I} = I$ .

Since  $[I] \in \text{Pic } R$ ,  $I$  is projective.

Note that

- $R$ -duals and tensor products of projective modules are projective
- projective modules are reflexive

so we conclude that  $I \otimes_R I^*$  is reflexive.

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (1): It follows from the fact that (2) implies  $I = \bar{I}$ .

## Proof of Theorem 4.1

(3)  $\Rightarrow$  (2): Suppose that  $I = \bar{I}$  and  $I \otimes_R I^*$  is reflexive.

### Claim 4.3

Let  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec } R$  with  $\text{ht}_R \mathfrak{p} \leq 1$ . Then  $I_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}} \cong R_{\mathfrak{p}}$  and  $\text{Supp}_R I = \text{Spec } R$ .

We now proceed to show  $[I] \in \text{Pic } R$ , by using Theorem 4.4.

### Theorem 4.4 (Auslander, Huneke-Wiegand)

Let  $(R, \mathfrak{m})$  be a Noetherian local ring satisfying  $(S_2)$ ,  $M$  a finitely generated torsion-free  $R$ -module. Suppose that

$M_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is a free  $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -module for  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec } R$  with  $\text{ht}_R \mathfrak{p} \leq 1$ .

If  $M \otimes_R M^*$  is reflexive, then  $M$  is free.

## Proof of Theorem 4.1

For  $\mathfrak{q} \in \text{Spec } R$ , let  $P \in \text{Spec } R_{\mathfrak{q}}$  with  $\text{ht}_{R_{\mathfrak{q}}} P \leq 1$ .

Then  $P = \mathfrak{p}R_{\mathfrak{q}}$  for  $\exists \mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec } R$  with  $\dim R_{\mathfrak{p}} \leq 1$ . It follows that

$$(IR_{\mathfrak{q}})_P \cong IR_{\mathfrak{p}} \cong R_{\mathfrak{p}} \cong (R_{\mathfrak{q}})_P.$$

Moreover  $IR_{\mathfrak{q}} \otimes_{R_{\mathfrak{q}}} (IR_{\mathfrak{q}})^*$  is reflexive. Theorem 4.4 implies  $IR_{\mathfrak{q}}$  is  $R_{\mathfrak{q}}$ -free.

Since  $\text{Supp}_R I = \text{Spec } R$ , we see that  $IR_{\mathfrak{q}} \cong R_{\mathfrak{q}}$ .

This shows  $I$  is projective, i.e.,  $[I] \in \text{Pic } R$ .

## Proof of Theorem 4.1

Let  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass}_R R/I$ . Since  $[I] \in \text{Pic } R$ , we have  $IR_{\mathfrak{p}} \cong R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ . By Lemma 4.2,  $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is a Cohen-Macaulay ring with  $\dim R_{\mathfrak{p}} = 1$ . As  $IR_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is free, we have

$$\text{Tor}_2^{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}(R_{\mathfrak{p}}/\mathfrak{p}R_{\mathfrak{p}}, R_{\mathfrak{p}}/IR_{\mathfrak{p}}) = 0.$$

By Proposition 3.2,  $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is a DVR. This completes the proof of (3)  $\Rightarrow$  (2).

Let us make sure of the last assertion.

Let  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass}_R R/I$ . Then, since  $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is a DVR, we have

$$IR_{\mathfrak{p}} = \mathfrak{p}^{n(\mathfrak{p})} R_{\mathfrak{p}}$$

for  $\exists n(\mathfrak{p}) \geq 1$ . Thus  $IR_{\mathfrak{p}} \cap R = \mathfrak{p}^{(n(\mathfrak{p}))}$ , and hence the result follows. □



Thank you so much for your attention.

## References

- [1] M. Auslander, Modules over unramified regular local rings, *Illinois J. Math.*, **5** (1961), 631–647.
- [2] O. Celikbas, K.-i. Iima, A. Sadeghi, and R. Takahashi, On the ideal case of a conjecture of Auslander and Reiten, *Bull. Sci. Math.*, **142** (2018), 94–107.
- [3] O. Celikbas and R. Takahashi, Auslander-Reiten conjecture and Auslander-Reiten duality, *J. Algebra*, **382** (2013), 100–114.
- [4] O. Celikbas, S. Goto, R. Takahashi, and N. Taniguchi, On the ideal case of a conjecture of Huneke and Wiegand, *Proc. Edinb. Math. Soc.*, (to appear).
- [5] A. Corso, C. Huneke, D. Katz, and W. V. Vasconcelos, Integral closure of ideals and annihilators of homology, *Commutative algebra, Lect. Notes Pure Appl. Math.*, **244**, Chapman & Hall/CRC, Boca Raton, FL, 2006, 33–48.
- [6] S. Goto, R. Takahashi, N. Taniguchi, and H. L. Truong, Huneke-Wiegand conjecture and change of rings, *J. Algebra*, **422** (2015), 33–52.
- [7] C. Huneke and R. Wiegand, Tensor products of modules and the rigidity of  $\text{Tor}$ , *Math. Ann.*, **299** (1994), no.3, 449–476.